

Paper Responses

These response forms have been received by collection points at the GB Post Office and GBPC Office mail box.

Hard-Copy Form source is annotated by submission as:

- Promoter generated Forms are identified as Xnn
- GBPC issued Forms are identified as GBnn

Section	Submission	Comment
(H1 ...)	X2	Too big a site for village
Suggested Mitigation:	X2	I prefer Option 1A then 1B but <u>not</u> the selected option.
Section	Submission	Comment
(H1 ...)	X8	Prefer option 1A
Suggested Mitigation:	X8	This option spreads the amount of housing around the village
Other:	X8	Option 1A incorporates the houses within the village rather than making a separate part eg Great & Lower Cambourne. This option provides infill within the village and doesn't put too much pressure on the infrastructural already in the areas of the village. Once we start building on one large size it will eventually make our village be incorporated with other villages on the outskirts of Bedford losing our perfect idilic village status and what attracts people to us. Following the recent floods building in one large size will considerably affect the water table in the area probably causing more flooding in the village. spreading the houses around would hopefully minimise this. I hope you will take these points into consideration. Thanks.
Section	Submission	Comment
(H1 ...)	X10	Over building – Traffic – Greenbelt – Prolonged disruption
Suggested Mitigation:	X10	Option 1A
Section	Submission	Comment
(H1 ...)	X13	Over development in one area
Suggested Mitigation:	X13	Smaller sites nicer better selection of propertys need in the village
Other:	X13	I would prefer a dispersed development of housing, on smaller sites, keeping the village growth in a round rather than an estate that could develop and overshadow the village of Gt Barford.
Section	Submission	Comment
(H1 ...)	X16	-

Other:		It is difficult to make an opinion by looking at the very small diagrams overleaf which told me to lean towards the selected option.
Section	Submission	Comment
(H1 ...) Yes	X17	To many houses on one sight
Suggested Mitigation:	X17	Spread the development out, it will turn into a monster !
Other:	X17	It will be a mess for 10 years during the development
Section	Submission	Comment
H1	X5	Prefer to leave green spaces in the village
Suggested Mitigation:	X5	So support the selected option rather than 1A or 1B
Other:	X5	This area is on 1 side of village so will reduce construction traffic problems in the village
Section	Submission	Comment
One large site only	X1	This breeds a 'ghetto' non intergration and destroys the village atmosphere.
Suggested Mitigation:	X1	Many small areas of building keeping the village atmosphere & community.
Other:	X1	<p>Surface drainage Too many recent building areas use the brook for surface drainage – usually with little or no understanding of the 1:3 drop to the river causing village puddling & flooding during very bad weather.</p> <p>Sewage dispersal The sewage plant is small and will soon not cope with demand.</p> <p>Medical Medical facilities will be totally inadequate for future building</p>
Section	Submission	Comment
Allocated land	X3	Traffic and destruction of Green End

Suggested Mitigation:	X3	Dispersed approach
Other:	X3	We received compensation for the bypass. A huge development would impact enormously on the semi rural area we chose to live in 45 years ago.
Section	Submission	Comment
The selected option	X7	We consider this to be the best option
Suggested Mitigation:	X7	Perfect position
Other:	X7	We consider this to be the best location to minimise disruption in the village. once the development is completed the majority of traffic will be away from the village and the school heading along the A428
Section	Submission	Comment
The selected option	X9	Wrong decision (see below) we already have the ugly Roxton Road estate which should not be repeated
Suggested Mitigation:	X9	Smaller developments esthetically more pleasing
Other:	X9	I think that building one large estate would be wrong. In order to retain “a village” – building should be spaced on different sites. The Roxton Road site is an example of what <u>not to do</u> . We are fortunate to live in a pretty village attracting people to live here because we don’t have large estates. Smaller sites would create “attractive designed” housing rather than “boxes” to live in.
Section	Submission	Comment
The selected option	X11	The other options exaggerate the negative impacts during building and afterwards on the village
Other:	X11	The selected option allows the village to retain its character. “Filling in” the open spaces would be suffocating
Section	Submission	Comment
Option 1A	X4	The obvious option for the village

Suggested Mitigation:	X4	Other options would not be acceptable as too many dwellings
Other:	X4	Option 1A uses north/ish, south east & west areas of the village and would be a much fairer and acceptable 'plan'
Section	Submission	Comment
Option 1A and B	X6	Already a populated area of old and new housing
Suggested Mitigation:	X6	Not the best community option
Other:	X6	Increase flow of traffic and pollution going through the village and bridge area
Section	Submission	Comment
Several sites/ <u>NOT</u> / just one large one	X14	Doctors will not be able to cope
Suggested Mitigation:	X14	Several small sites
Other:	X14	Please don't spoil the village
Section	Submission	Comment
In general	X12	Why are we letting these developers build in our beautiful little village. Go build in Bedford. Both options 1A & 1B are taking any piece of land, it shouldn't be allowed. The selected version is best for less disruption but it will still cause issues.
Suggested Mitigation:	X12	I would love not to see another house/development built like this. We will have more traffic our shops wont be able to coupe.
Other:	X12	At best/worse case, at the most, if the selected option is chosen, Great Barford should be awarded with something for the village. we could have an open debate for something like additional play area – improvements/new village hall. The council will make so much money as will the developer so it's the least they could do. Thank you, James
Section	Submission	Comment

8(3) 9(2)(8) preservation of countryside	X15	Flooding & destruction of trees & wildlife on plot 125
Suggested Mitigation:	X15	Avoid development of plot 125 & install adequate drainage on allocated land
Other:	X15	Conservation area should be extended to include both sides of Green End Road. Apart from school traffic into Bedford, most vehicles from western development will head to A1 & A421, revisiting problems at crossroads. Development on the East side of village makes sense nice housing already exists there.
Section	Submission	Comment
6 Sustainable Development	GB1.0	Having seen most of the developments in the village, having moved here to Willoughby Close in 1966, we have seen the problems with larger developments.
Suggested Mitigation:	GB1.0	A suitable mixture of housing covering all age groups, from singles through to retired couples would be best.
Other:	GB1.0	A major concern is more concrete, more flooding. Our garden is flooded at the moment even with open fields to the rear.
Section	Submission	Comment
8 Housing	GB1.1	Affordable housing needs to be mixed with private housing to prevent a "ghetto" type of area. Quite a few of our young people would like to stay in the village but cannot afford to buy outright.
Suggested Mitigation:	GB1.1	Housing needs to be in clusters with some green space between – not a huge estate of 500.
Other:	GB1.1	Concerns that the allocated land could lead to more flooding in Green End Road as the land in question is at a higher level. Some elderly people move away from the village as there are no suitably sized bungalows etc available locally.